RPW230 Problem Solving in PTW

Evaluation: Evaluation Report (ER)

The checklists indicate project elements where your submission meets expectations, and if applicable, where it still could benefit from further development or continued refinement. (The key to the evaluative comments is included below the checklist.) Your score by category appears in the Project Evaluation to the right.

Evaluation Criteria: Content

- eval Memo of Transmittal is framed properly, provides appropriate context & justification for the project, provides a link to the screencast, & requests appropriate action regarding the project.
- eval Title communicates the document's purpose & identifies the Object of Study (OoS).
- **eval** Overview frames the report appropriately: introduces OoS; previews evaluation.
- eval ER distills the purpose & essential features & functions of the OoS.
- **eval** ER evaluates the qualities & characteristics of the OoS based on the author's experiences working with it this semester.
- eval ER offers a final evaluation of the OoS.
- eval ER presents a strong complement of images of the OoS in context of use.
- eval ER content is well organized.
- **eval** ER discussion supported well with appropriate evidence.

Evaluation Criteria: Design & Delivery

- **eval** Memos of Transmittal meet format/layout expectations for the genre.
- eval Screening document establishes & maintains a strong, consistent visual logic.
- **eval** Screening document is presented in a professional screen design that effectively coordinates text, visual content.
- eval Screening document is professionally framed on screen.
- **eval** Presentation of content is consistently well paced & confidently delivered.
- eval Screencast audio & video are clear & free of glitches or production problems.

Project Evaluation

Total Points (200 possible)	score
Content (80 points possible)	score
Design & Delivery (40 points possible)	score
Professionalism (40 points possible)	score
Revision (40 points possible)	score

Evaluation Criteria: Professionalism

- **eval** Submission includes the required, properly labeled files in appropriate formats posted to the designated location.
- **eval** Memo of Transmittal demonstrates appropriate professionalism & attention to detail.
- eval ER screening doc demonstrates consistent professionalism & attention to detail.
- eval ER screencast demonstrates consistent professionalism & attention to detail.
- eval Presenter seems prepared, & maintains appropriate professionalism throughout the screencast.
- eval Written & spoken content are grammatically sound, properly punctuated.
- eval Written & spoken content are stylistically appropriate (economical, direct), & utilize audience-appropriate terminology.
- eval Spoken content is stylistically appropriate (economical, direct), & utilizes audienceappropriate terminology.

Evaluation Criteria: Revision

- eval Final submission demonstrates effective response to feedback on draft.
- **eval** Final submission represents continued, effective development of content & refinement of design.

Evaluation Criteria Key

- yes evident, more successful than not
- **nw** evident, but needs work
- **no** not evident, or in need of significant work
- na does not apply to this submission